Quantum corrections to gravity in the expanding universe

V.E. Kuzmichev and V.V. Kuzmichev
Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Nat. Acad. of Sci. of Ukraine, Kiev, 03680 Ukraine
(Dated: November 21, 2014)

The influence of the quantum corrections to gravity on the dynamics of the expansion of the universe is studied on the example of the exactly solvable quantum model. The quantum corrections to the energy density and pressure lead to the emergence of an additional attraction (dark matter?) or repulsion (dark energy?) in the system of the gravitating matter and radiation. The model explains the accelerating expansion (inflation) in the early universe (the domain of comparatively small values of quantum numbers) and a later transition from the decelerating expansion to the accelerating expansion of the universe (the domain of the very large values of quantum numbers) from a single approach. The generation of primordial fluctuations of the energy density at the expense of the change of sign of the quantum correction to the pressure is discussed.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Qc, 98.80.Cq, 95.35.+d, 95.36.+x

arXiv:1411.5466v1 [gr-qc] 20 Nov 2014

Since at the present time there is no common point of view on the nature of dark energy, numerous different models were proposed to reconcile the classical theory of gravity, based on general relativity, with current astrophysical data [1, 2]. The transition from the classical model of the universe to its quantum analog adds a new element in the form of the quantum corrections to the energy density and pressure to the theory. In this note we propose to use quantum geometrodynamics in order to study an influence of these quantum corrections on the dynamics of the expansion of the universe. For this purpose, it is reasonable to consider this problem in terms of exactly solvable cosmological model.

We will consider an isotropic cosmological model described by the Robertson-Walker metric. The Hamiltonian of the universe filled with a uniform scalar field and a perfect fluid is taken in the form [3, 4]

$$H = \frac{N}{2}\left\{-\pi_a^2 - a^2 + a^4[\rho_\phi + \rho_\gamma]\right\} + c_1\left\{\pi_\Theta - \frac{1}{2}\,a^3\rho_0 s\right\} + c_2\left\{\pi_{\tilde\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\,a^3\rho_0\right\}, \quad (1)$$

where π_a, π_Θ, $\pi_{\tilde\lambda}$ are the momenta canonically conjugate with the variables a, Θ, $\tilde\lambda$, a is the cosmic scale factor, Θ is the thermasy, $\tilde\lambda$ is the potential for the specific free energy taken with an inverse sign, ρ_ϕ is the energy density of matter represented by a scalar field, ρ_γ is the energy density of a perfect fluid, which is a function of the density of the rest mass ρ_0 and the specific entropy s [5]. The N, c_1, and c_2 play the role of the Lagrange multipliers.

Here and below we use the Planck system of units. The length $l_P = \sqrt{2G\hbar/(3\pi c^3)}$ is taken as a unit of length and the $\rho_P = 3c^4/(8\pi G l_P^2)$ is used as a unit of energy density and pressure, where G is Newton's gravitational constant. The proper time τ is measured in units of length.

This Hamiltonian is a linear combination of constraints and thus weakly vanishes, $H \approx 0$. The variations of the Hamiltonian with respect to N, c_1, and c_2 give three constraint equations,

$$-\pi_a^2 - a^2 + a^4[\rho_\phi + \rho_\gamma] \approx 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\pi_\Theta - \frac{1}{2}\,a^3\rho_0 s \approx 0, \quad \pi_{\tilde\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\,a^3\rho_0 \approx 0. \quad (3)$$

From the conservation of these constraints in time, it follows that the number of particles of a perfect fluid in the proper volume $\frac{1}{2}a^3$ (or $2\pi^2 a^3$, if a is taken in units of length) and the specific entropy conserve: $\frac{1}{2}a^3\rho_0 = \text{const}$, $s = \text{const}$. Taking into account these conservation laws and vanishing of the momenta conjugate with the variables ρ_0 and s, one can discard degrees of freedom corresponding to these variables, and convert the second-class constraints into first-class constraints [3], in accordance with Dirac's proposal.

In quantum theory, first-class constraint equations become constraints on the state vector and, in this way, define the space of physical states [6].

It is convenient to choose the perfect fluid with the density ρ_γ in the form of relativistic matter (radiation). Then, one can put $a^4\rho_\gamma \equiv E = \text{const}$. Passing from classical variables in Eq. (2) to corresponding operators, we obtain a constraint equation, which annihilates the state vector $|\psi\rangle$ in the space of two variables, the cosmic scale factor a and the scalar field ϕ,

$$\left(-\partial_a^2 + a^2 - 2a\hat H_\phi\right)|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle. \quad (4)$$

The operators

$$\hat H_\phi = \frac{1}{2}a^3\hat\rho_\phi, \quad \hat L_\phi = \frac{1}{2}a^3\hat p_\phi \quad (5)$$

are the operators of Hamiltonian and Lagrangian of the scalar field ϕ, where

$$\hat\rho_\phi = -\frac{2}{a^6}\,\partial_\phi^2 + V(\phi), \quad \hat p_\phi = -\frac{2}{a^6}\,\partial_\phi^2 - V(\phi), \quad (6)$$

are the operators of energy density and pressure respectively, $V(\phi)$ is the potential.

In the case $E = 0$, Eq. (4) reduces to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation of a 'minisuperspace model' of the universe filled with a uniform scalar field.

The Hamiltonian $\hat H_\phi$ can be diagonalized by means of some state vectors $\langle x|u_k\rangle$ of quantum scalar field in

the representation of generalized variable $x = x(\frac{1}{2}a^3, \phi)$. The explicit form of x is determined by the form of the potential $V(\phi)$ [4]. For example, in the model $V(\phi) = \lambda_\alpha \phi^\alpha$, where λ_α is the coupling constant and $\alpha \geq 0$, we have $x = (2\lambda_\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}} \left(\frac{a^3}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2+\alpha}} \phi$.

After averaging over quantum states $|u_k\rangle$, the scalar field turns into matter characterized by the energy density ρ_m and pressure p_m,

$$\rho_m = \langle u_k|\hat{\rho}_\phi|u_k\rangle, \quad p_m = \langle u_k|\hat{p}_\phi|u_k\rangle. \tag{7}$$

The expectation value of the Hamiltonian is

$$\langle u_k|\hat{H}_\phi|u_{k'}\rangle = M_k(a)\delta_{kk'}, \tag{8}$$

where the index of the state k can take both discrete and continuous values, $M_k(a) = \frac{1}{2}a^3\rho_m$ is the proper energy of matter in the volume $\frac{1}{2}a^3$ with the energy density ρ_m.

Calculating the expectation values (7), we obtain

$$\rho_m = \frac{2M_k(a)}{a^3}, \quad p_m = w_m\rho_m, \tag{9}$$

where the equation-of-state parameter w_m is equal

$$w_m = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln M_k(a)}{d\ln a}. \tag{10}$$

In the general case, the proper energy $M_k(a)$ depends on a. It describes a classical source (as a mass-energy) of the gravitational field.

In the specific case, in the model $V(\phi) = \lambda_\alpha\phi^\alpha$, matter reduces to the barotropic fluid with the parameter $w_m = \frac{\alpha-2}{\alpha+2}$, which does not depend on a. For $\alpha = 0$, the barotropic fluid takes the form of the vacuum of the scalar field in the kth state. The value $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the strings. Matter in the form of dust is reproduced by $\alpha = 2$, whereas $\alpha = 4$ leads to the relativistic matter and so on. The so-called stiff Zel'dovich matter is obtained in the limiting case $\alpha = \infty$.

Using Eq. (8), one can integrate Eq. (4) with respect to the matter field variable. Expressing the vector $|\psi\rangle$ in the form of expansion in terms of the complete set of states $|u_k\rangle$,

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_k |u_k\rangle\langle u_k|\psi\rangle, \tag{11}$$

from Eq. (4), we obtain the equation for the function $\langle a|f_k\rangle \equiv \langle u_k|\psi\rangle$,

$$\left(-\partial_a^2 + a^2 - 2aM_k(a)\right)|f_k\rangle = E|f_k\rangle. \tag{12}$$

This equation is an eigenvalue equation. Its solution $|f_k\rangle$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue E. The function $|f_k\rangle$ describes the geometrical properties of the quantum universe filled with matter, whose mass-energy is $M_k(a)$.

In order to turn to the classical observables, we shall look for the solution of Eq. (12) in the form

$$\langle a|f_k\rangle = C_k e^{iI_k(a)}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$I_k(a) = S_k(a) + \frac{i}{2}\ln\partial_a S_k(a) \tag{14}$$

is the action with account of quantum corrections (the second term is proportional to l_P^2 in physical units), C_k is the constant determined by the boundary condition on the function $\langle a|f_k\rangle$, e.g. on the asymptotics $a \to \infty$.

The function $S_k(a)$ satisfies the non-linear equation

$$(\partial_a S_k)^2 + a^2 - 2aM_k(a) - E = \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\partial_a^2 S_k}{\partial_a S_k}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_a^3 S_k}{\partial_a S_k}. \tag{15}$$

This equation is exact. It is equivalent to Eq. (12). In the classical limit, the right-hand side of Eq. (15) vanishes (it is proportional to l_P^4 in physical units) and this equation turns into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the action $S_k(a)$.

The derivative of the action with respect to the scale factor a defines the momentum π_a conjugate with a. With regard for quantum corrections, we have [7]

$$\pi_a = -a\dot{a} = \partial_a I_k(a), \tag{16}$$

where a dot is used to denote the derivative with respect to the proper time τ.

Using Eqs. (14) and (16), Eq. (15) can be rewritten in the form of the Einstein-Friedmann equation

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \rho_m + \rho_\gamma + \rho_q - \frac{1}{a^2}. \tag{17}$$

Differentiating Eq. (17) with respect to the proper time τ and taking into account the first law of thermodynamics, we have

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\rho_m + \rho_\gamma + \rho_q + 3\left(p_m + p_\gamma + p_q\right)\right]. \tag{18}$$

Here ρ_m and p_m are the energy density and pressure of matter with the equation-of-state parameter (10), ρ_γ and p_γ are the energy density and pressure of radiation with the equation of state $p_\gamma = \frac{1}{3}\rho_\gamma$, ρ_q and p_q are the quantum corrections to the total energy density and pressure, which have the form

$$\rho_q = \frac{Q_k(a)}{a^4} \equiv \frac{2M_Q(a)}{a^3}, \quad p_q = w_q\rho_q, \tag{19}$$

where $M_Q(a) = \frac{1}{2}a^3\rho_q$ is the proper energy of the quantum source of the gravitational field and the equation-of-state parameter is equal to

$$w_q = \frac{1}{3}\left(1 - \frac{d\ln Q_k(a)}{d\ln a}\right). \tag{20}$$

In Eq. (20), the first term is a correction for relativity, while the second one comes from the quantum dynamics of the system and it is expressed via the function of the gravitational quantum source

$$Q_k(a) = i\partial_a^2 S_k + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial_a^2 S_k}{\partial_a S_k}\right)^2 - \frac{\partial_a^3 S_k}{\partial_a S_k}\right]. \tag{21}$$

The equations (17) and (18), rewritten in physical units, demonstrate that the energy density ρ_q and pressure p_q will contain the terms proportional to l_P^2 (from the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (21)) and l_P^4 (from the term in square brackets).

The influence of the gravitational quantum source on the dynamics of the expanding universe depends on the value and the sign of the corresponding energy density and pressure.

If there exists the domain, where the function $Q_k(a) > 0$ and $\ln Q_k(a)$ depends on $\ln a$, so that w_q can be parametrized in the form $w_q = -\frac{1}{3}\delta$, where δ is an arbitrary positive or negative constant, then the quantum corrections can imitate, for example, the contribution from the de Sitter vacuum ($\delta = 3$), domain walls ($\delta = 2$), strings ($\delta = 1$), dust ($\delta = 0$), radiation ($\delta = -1$), or perfect gas ($\delta = -2$). In such a model, the quantum source is $Q_k(a) \sim a^{\delta+1}$. Identifying the energy density $\rho_q > 0$ with the energy density of dark energy, one finds that the case $\delta = 3$ reproduces the cosmological constant [8], the values $1 < \delta < 3$ correspond to the quintessence [9], whereas the phantom field [10] is described by the values $\delta > 3$.

However, it is possible that quantum effects will generate the quantum corrections, for which the function $Q_k(a) < 0$ and the corresponding energy density is negative. This case is not extraordinary. According to quantum field theory, for instance, vacuum fluctuations make a negative contribution to the field energy per unit area (the Casimir effect). As it was shown in Ref. [11], the quantum correction ρ_q takes a negative value near initial cosmological singularity.

In this connection, it is appropriate to study an exactly solvable model with the potential of scalar field $V(\phi) = \lambda_2\phi^2$. In such a model, mass-energy $M_k(a) = \sqrt{2\lambda_2}(k + \frac{1}{2}) \equiv M = const$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ is the number of non-interacting identical particles with the mass $\sqrt{2\lambda_2}$ in the state $|u_k\rangle$ of scalar field. The equations (12) and (15) have analytical solutions. The eigenvalue E is determined by the condition of quantization $E = 2n + 1 - M^2$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ is the quantum number which numerates the discrete states of the universe in the potential well $\zeta^2 \equiv (a - M)^2$, and

$$\partial_\zeta S(\zeta) = i\frac{e^{\zeta^2} H_n^{-2}(\zeta)}{2\int_0^\zeta dx\, e^{x^2} H_n^{-2}(x)}, \tag{22}$$

where $H_n(\zeta)$ is the Hermitian polynomial, and the index n for S is omitted to simplify the notation. The function of the source $Q_k(a)$ has the form

$$Q(\zeta) = -(2n + 1) + 2n\,\frac{H_{n-1}(\zeta)H_{n+1}(\zeta)}{H_n^2(\zeta)}. \tag{23}$$

This function depends on quantum nth state of the universe. The condition $\rho_\gamma = \frac{E}{a^4} \geq 0$ imposes a restriction on the mass M of the universe, $M \leq \sqrt{2n+1}$, in accordance with the quantization condition for $E \geq 0$. The observed part of our universe is characterized by the parameters $M \sim 10^{61}$ ($\sim 10^{80}$ GeV) and $E \sim 10^{118}$ ($\rho_\gamma \approx 10^{-10}$ GeV/cm^3). From the viewpoint of the model under consideration, it is in the state with $n \sim 10^{122}$ (up to $\sim 10^{-4}$). This estimate practically coincides with the estimate given in Ref. [12]. Therefore, one can put $E = M^2$ (for the early universe) and $E = 0$ (for the universe with $n \gg 1$) for numerical estimations.

From the explicit form of Eq. (23), it follows that the function of the gravitational quantum source $Q_k(a)$ is negative and oscillates n times in the interval $0 \leq a < \infty$. This function and its first derivative with respect to a diverge at the points, where the Hermitian polynomial $H_n(\zeta)$ vanishes. In order to get rid of these divergences, we shall use the following regularization procedure,

$$\frac{1}{H_n^\alpha} \to \frac{1}{H_n^\alpha} R_n, \tag{24}$$

where an alternating series is introduced,

$$R_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\nu \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{H_n^\alpha}\right)^\nu = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_n}{H_n^\alpha} + \ldots. \tag{25}$$

Here ε_n is some regularization parameter chosen so that the positions and magnitudes of maxima of the function $Q_k(a)$ do not change. The series (25) is summed

$$R_n = \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{H_n^\alpha}\right)^{-1}. \tag{26}$$

In Fig. 1 for illustration purposes, it is shown the quantum correction ρ_q as a function of a with the specific values $n = 2$, $M = 1.58$, $E = M^2$, which correspond to the early universe, and $\varepsilon_n = 2(2n + 1)$. The main features of the behaviour of ρ_q remain intact up to the values $n \gg 1$ and $E \ll M^2$. It is multiplied on a^4 in order to exclude the divergence in the point of the initial cosmological singularity $a = 0$ from the consideration. This quantum correction to the total energy density in Eqs. (17) and (18) is found to take only negative values. When the number n increases, the number of oscillations increases as well.

In the Fig. 1, one can see that $Q_k(0) = const$ and $Q_k(a) \to -1$ at $a \to \infty$. The local maximum corresponds to the point $a = M$.

The quantum correction p_q to the pressure is shown in Fig. 2. This quantum correction p_q takes negative values near the origin $a = 0$ and at large values of a. It passes through the point $p_q = 0$ at $a < 1$ and then takes positive values. The pressure changes from positive to negative near the point $a = M$, it changes from negative to positive near $a = 2M$ and again switches from positive to negative after $a = 4M$.

The peculiarities of the behaviour of the pressure p_q manifest themselves in the behaviour of the deceleration parameter $q = -\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2}$ drawn in Fig. 3. It appears that when one takes into account the quantum corrections for the universe filled with dust and radiation, the universe changes from deceleration (near the initial cosmological singularity at $a = 0$) to accelerating expansion for small



FIG. 1. The quantum corrections ρ_q (19) to the total energy density multiplied on a^4 for the quantum source Q_k (23) *versus* the scale factor a for $n = 2$ and $M = 1.58$.



FIG. 2. The quantum corrections p_q (19) to the pressure multiplied on a^4 for the quantum source Q_k (23) *versus* the scale factor a for $n = 2$.

a. The deceleration parameter takes negative and positive values. It changes from positive to negative near the points $a = M$ and $a = 2M$. The deceleration parameter describes the accelerating universe for $a < M$ and for $a > 2M$ up to the end. The acceleration decreases as the scale factor a increases. Extrapolating this solution for the case of larger values of n we come to the conclusion that the quantum corrections generate an additional attraction in the universe acting as dark matter or a repulsion which can be associated with dark energy. The quantum corrections can, in principle, produce both the inflationary expansion in the early universe ($n > 1$) and the accelerating expansion in later times ($n \sim 10^{120}$).

The way how the quantum corrections exhibit themselves on the total energy density $\rho_{tot} = \rho_m + \rho_\gamma + \rho_q$ can be seen in Fig. 4. In the domain $a < M$, the total density becomes positive on the background of negative values of the quantum correction ρ_q to the energy density (see Fig. 1). A bulge of a curve near $a = M$ can be considered as a density fluctuation stipulated by corresponding growth of the pressure p_q from negative values to positive values for $a < M$ and subsequent change to



FIG. 3. The deceleration parameter q for the quantum source Q_k (23) *versus* the scale factor a for $n = 2$.



FIG. 4. The total energy density ρ_{tot} multiplied on a^4 for the quantum source Q_k (23) *versus* the scale factor a for $n = 2$.

negative values near $a = M$, shown in Fig. 2. In general case, there are $n - 1$ fluctuations for any value of n. Such fluctuations may play the role of seeds for future large-scale structure.

From Fig. 1, it follows that in the domain $a > 2M$, the quantum correction ρ_q has the form $\rho_q = -\frac{\sigma^2}{a^6}$, where σ^2 weakly depends on a. As it is shown in Ref. [4], $\sigma^2 = \frac{3}{8}N^2$, where N is the number of particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Weyssenhoff fluid in the volume $\frac{1}{2}a^3$ [13]. The term with the similar dependence on a arises in the Einstein-Cartan theory [14, 15], where $\rho_q = -\langle s_{\mu\nu}s^{\mu\nu}\rangle$, the space-time averaging is performed in order to make a transition to macroscopic scales, $s_{\mu\nu}$ is the spin density and it is assumed that the average $\langle s_{\mu\nu}\rangle = 0$.

[1] C. Bennett, et al., ApJS **208**, 20 (2013), arXiv:1212.5225 [astro-ph.CO]; G. Hinshaw, et al., ApJS **208**, 19 (2013), arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO].

[2] P.A.R. Ade, et al. (Planck Collaboration), arXiv:1303.5062 [astro-ph.CO].
[3] V.E. Kuzmichev, V.V. Kuzmichev, Acta Phys. Pol. B **39**, 979 (2008), arXiv:0712.0464 [gr-qc]; Acta Phys. Pol. B **39**, 2003 (2008), arXiv:0712.0465 [gr-qc]; Ukr. J. Phys. **55**, 626 (2010).
[4] V.E. Kuzmichev, V.V. Kuzmichev, Acta Phys. Pol. B **44**, 2051 (2013), arXiv:1307.2383 [gr-qc].
[5] B.F. Schutz, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2762 (1970).
[6] P.A.M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).
[7] V.E. Kuzmichev, V.V. Kuzmichev, arXiv:1406.1297 [gr-qc].
[8] P.J.E. Peebles, B Ratra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0207347.
[9] R.R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998); J. P. Ostriker and P.J. Steinhardt, Nature **377**, 600 (1995); M.S. Turner, G. Steigman, and L. Krauss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 2090 (1984).
[10] R.R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. B **545**, 23 (2002); R.R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, N.N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 071301 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0302506.
[11] V.E. Kuzmichev, V.V. Kuzmichev, Acta Phys. Pol. B **40**, 2877 (2009), arXiv:0905.4142 [gr-qc].
[12] J.B. Hartle, S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 2960 (1983).
[13] J. Weyssenhoff, A. Raabe, Acta Phys. Pol. **9**, 7 (1947); J. Weyssenhoff, in *Max-Planck-Festschrift*, edited by B. Kockel, W. Macke, A. Papapetrou (Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1958).
[14] F.W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G.D. Kerlick, and J.M. Nester, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48**, 393 (1976).
[15] I.S. Nurgaliev, W.N. Ponomariev, Phys. Lett. **130B**, 378 (1983).